UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: CSMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO. By 1450 Alexandra, 47 Epinia 22313-1450 www.uspio.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/628,880	07/31/2000	James S. Rosen	COMET-003XX	4970
	7590 04/09/2007 N. SCHURGIN, GAGNEB	IN & LEBOVICI LLP	EXAM	INER
TEN POST OF	FICE SQUARE DINH, KHAN			HANH Q
BOSTON, MA	02109		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
			2151	
	·			
SHORTENED STATUTORY	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MON	THS	04/09/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/628,880	ROSEN ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Khanh Dinh	2151	
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	ppears on the cover sheet w	th the correspondence address -	
Period for Reply		. •	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPI WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING I Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNI 136(a). In no event, however, may a d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON te, cause the application to become Al	CATION. eply be timely filed ITHS from the mailing date of this communica BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
	December 2006	. '	
	is action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa		ers, prosecution as to the merits	s is
closed in accordance with the practice under	•		
Disposition of Claims		,	
·	_	•	
 4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-61 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) 60 and 61 is/are with 			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	ildiawii ildiii colisideration		
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-59</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement		
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	or orocaon roquitorname.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin			
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ ac	•	·	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre	•		
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer. Note the attache	d Office Action or form P1O-152	<u>.</u>
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		•	
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	n priority under 35 U.S.C.	119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		
1. Certified copies of the priority documer	nts have been received.		
2. Certified copies of the priority documer		pplication No	
3. Copies of the certified copies of the price		· ·	
application from the International Burea	au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		
* See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	t of the certified copies not	received.	
Attachment(s)		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date	
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	5) D Notice of I	nformal Patent Application	
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) 🔲 Other:	<u> </u>	

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 09/628,880

Art Unit: 2151

DETAILED ACTION

1. This is in response to the Amendment filed on 12/8/2006 and Remarks filed on 12/8/2006. Claims 1-30 and new claims 31-61 are presented for examination.

Election/Restrictions

2. Newly submitted claims 60 and 61 are directed to an invention that lacks unity with the invention originally claimed for the following reasons: the invention originally claimed is directed to a method for enabling information associations in conjunction with at least one client system and one server system including parsing and accessing steps, which requires use of search Class 709, subclass 218. The invention claimed as claims 60-61 is directed to monitoring activities of information system including loading and monitoring activities and determining user rating, which requires use of search Class 709, subclass 224.

Since applicant has received an action on the merits for the originally presented invention, this invention has been constructively elected by original presentation for prosecution on the merits. Accordingly, claims 60-61 are **withdrawn** from consideration as being directed to a nonelected invention. See 37 CFR 1.142(b) and MPEP § 821.03.

3. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-30 and new claims 31-59 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

4. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

Page 3

5. Claims 27, 39 and 40 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter. The claim (27) is directed to a signal directly or indirectly by claiming a "wave" (such as a carrier wave). In that event, the claims are directed to a form of energy, which at present the office feels does not fall into a category of invention.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

6. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

Art Unit: 2151

7. Claims 1-9 and 13-59 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Nielsen, US pat. No.5,907,680.

As to claim 1, Nielsen discloses a method for enabling information associations, said method being performed with at least one client system and at least one server system, comprising the steps of:

in a parsing step, parsing, on one of said client system and said server system, data containing within a document to identify a number of objects included in said document (i.e., sending a request for a particular www document) (see abstract, figs.1A, 2, col.5 line 45 to col.6 line 41);

in an accessing step, accessing, from at least one database (client side's database), information related to those said objects in said documents for which related information is available (processing and displaying WWW documents to users upon requests, see col.6 lines 9-41);

in a first displaying step, displaying, on a display of said client system, at least a portion of said objects for which information is available and in a detecting step, detecting, on said client system, a selection of one of said objects displayed on said display a user (see col.6 line 42 to col.7 line 23);

in a second displaying step, displaying, on said display, information from said at least one database related to said selected one of said objects (see col.6 line 42 to col.7 line 23 and colo.9 lines 11-41).

Art Unit: 2151

As to claim 2, Nielsen discloses wherein said first display step comprises displaying said document including said objects (displaying a list of URLs), and further comprising providing visual indications to said user of at least a portion of those of said objects for which related information is available (see fig.3, col.7 line 7 to col.8 line 54).

As to claim 3, Nielsen discloses detecting an operation in which said document is being loaded by an application program; and wherein said accessing step comprises comparing said objects within said document with entries in said at least one database, said accessing step being performed in response to said detecting of said operation in which said document is being loaded said application (see col.8 lines 24-65 and col.9 lines 12-67).

As to claim 4, Nielsen discloses said accessing step forming of said list further comprises accessing from said at least one database, information related to at least one of said objects within said document including one of said objects within said document in said in the event that said at least one of object said objects within said document matches at least one of said entries in said at least one database (see fig.12, col.11 line 26 to col.12 line 52).

As to claim 5, Nielsen discloses said loading of said document by said application program comprises downloading of said document onto said client system using a browser program (see fig.9, col.9 line 12 to col.10 line 24).

As to claim 6, Nielsen discloses wherein said loading of said document into said application

Art Unit: 2151

program comprises loading said document into a word processor program (see col.7 lines 7-59

and col.11 line 26 to col.12 line 52).

As to claim 7, Nielsen discloses said loading of said document into said application program

comprises loading said document into an email program (see col.7 lines 7-59 and col.11 line 26

to col.12 line 52).

As to claim 8, Nielsen discloses said information from said at least one database related to said

selected one of said objects comprises at least one indication of at least one related resource, and

further comprising: detecting a selection of said indication of said at least one related resource by

said user; and outputting content associated with said at least one related resource in response to

said detecting said selection of said indication of said at least one related resource (checking the

server name due to request to retrieve a document using a URL sent by the user, see fig.12,

col.11 line 26 to col.12 line 52).

As to claim 9, Nielsen discloses said at least one indication of said at least one related resource

comprises at least one uniform resource locator associated with said at least one related resource

(see fig.9, col.9 lines 12-67).

Claims 13-24 are rejected for the same reasons set forth in claims 1-12 respectively.

Claims 25 and 27 are rejected for the same reasons set forth in claim 1.

Art Unit: 2151

Claim 26 is rejected for the same reasons set forth in claim 1. As to the added limitations, Nielsen discloses a client computer system (110 of fig.1A, col.5 line 44 to col.6 line 49). It is inherent that a computer system including a processor including a memory.

As to claims 28-30, Nielsen discloses at least some of said objects comprising respective metadata (see fig.9, col.9 lines 12-67).

As to claim 31, Nielsen discloses determining said at least a portion of those of said objects for which related information is available based upon at least one of user profile information derived from past actions of said user, profile information provided by said user, preferences provided by said user, contextual information associated analysis of said document, and with at least one of said objects (see fig.9, col.9 lines 12-41 and col.10 line 47 to col.11 line 25).

As to claim 32, Nielsen discloses said contextual analysis des extracting themes contained in at least one of said document and a history of documents recently downloaded onto said client system (see fig.12, col.11 line 26 to col.12 line 52).

As to claim 33, Nielsen discloses determining said at least a portion of for which related information is available based upon at least one of user profile information derived from user, profile information provided by said user, preferences provided by said user, contextual analysis of said document, and related information associated with at least one of said objects (see fig.9, col.9 lines 12-41 and col.10 line 47 to col.11 line 25).

Art Unit: 2151

As to claim 34, Nielsen discloses said contextual analysis of said document includes extracting

themes contained in at least one of said document and a history of contained in at least one of

said documents recently downloaded onto said client system (see col.10 line 25 to col.11 line

53).

Claims 35-40 are rejected for the same reasons set forth in claims 33, 34, 33, 34, 33, and 34

respectively.

As to claims 41 and 42, Nielsen discloses said document includes themes one of said document

and a history of said least one indication of at least one related resource, and wherein said user

activities resource (see fig.9, col.9 lines 12-41 and col.10 line 47 to col.11 line 25).

As to claims 43-45, Nielsen discloses said detecting said selection of said one of said objects

substantially over said one of said and clicking on said display by at least moving a cursor over

said one of said objects and leaving the cursor over said one of said objects for a predetermined

amount of time, and clicking on said one of said objects (see col.7 lines 7-46 and col.10 line 47

to col.11 line 25).

As to claim 46, Nielsen discloses a method of enabling information associations on at least one

client system, comprising the steps of:

parsing data contained within a document to identify number of objects included in said

Art Unit: 2151

document (i.e., sending a request for a particular www document) (see abstract, figs.1A, 2, col.5 line 45 to col.6 line 41);

accessing, from at least one database, information related to those of said objects included in said document for which related information is available (processing and displaying WWW documents to users upon requests, see col.6 lines 9-41); and

in a first displaying step, displaying, on a display of said client system, information accessed from said at least one portion of those of said database that is related to at least a portion of said objects for which related information is available (see col.6 line 42 to col.7 line 23).

Claims 47 and 49 are rejected for the same reasons set forth in claim 2.

As to claim 48, Nielsen discloses displaying, on said display, said document including said objects in said document (see col.6 lines 9-66).

As to claim 50, Nielsen discloses said visual indications include at least one of boldfacing, underlining, color-coding, and changing at least one of a format, font, and style of said at least a portion of those of said objects in said document for which related information is available (see col.10 line 25 to col.11 line 53 and col.12 lines 15-52).

Claims 51 and 52 are rejected for the same reasons set forth in claims 33 and 32 respectively.

Art Unit: 2151

Claims 53-59 are rejected for the same reasons set forth in claims 46-52 respectively.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 8. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

9. Claims 10-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nielsen in view of Gershman et al. (hereafter Gershman), US pat. No.6,199,099.

As to claims 10-11, Nielsen does not specifically disclose at least one related resource from said base at least one database comprises streaming audio and video information. However, Gershman discloses at least one related resource from said base at least one database comprises streaming audio and video information (see abstract, col.10 lines 13-63 and col.43 line 4 to col.44 line 51). It would have been obvious to one of the ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to implement Gershman's teachings into the computer system of Nielsen to

Art Unit: 2151

control data information because it would have facilitated web based information retrieval and display system in a communication network.

As to claim 12, Nielsen does not specifically disclose in a monitoring step, monitoring user activities on said at least one client system, said user activities indicating levels of interest of at least one user of said least one client system is interested in said objects included in said document; determining at least one rating of at least one of said document, said objects, and said information related to said objects in response to said monitoring of said user activities on said at least one client system; and storing said at least one rating in association with at least one of said at least one user, said document, said objects, and said information related to said objects, wherein said at least one rating can be applied to future requests for information related to said objects.. However, Gershman discloses in a monitoring step, monitoring user activities on said at least one client system, said user activities indicating levels of interest of at least one user of said least one client system is interested in said objects included in said document; determining at least one rating of at least one of said document, said objects, and said information related to said objects in response to said monitoring of said user activities on said at least one client system; and storing said at least one rating in association with at least one of said at least one user, said document, said objects, and said information related to said objects, wherein said at least one rating can be applied to future requests for information related to said objects (using user ratings for determining the personalized products, see fig.16, col.34 lines 7-57). It would have been obvious to one of the ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to implement Gershman's teachings into the computer system of Nielsen to control data

Art Unit: 2151

information because it would have ensured that the product reports sent back to appropriate users and customized services for users based on user profiles in a communication network.

Response to Arguments

- 10. Applicant's arguments filed on 12/8/2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.
 - Applicant asserts that the cited reference does not disclose a method for enabling information associations, said method being performed with at least one client system and at least one server system, comprising the steps of: in a parsing step, parsing, on one of said client system and said server system, data containing within a document to identify a number of objects included in said document; in an accessing step, accessing, from at least one database, information related to those said objects in said documents for which related information is available.

Examiner respectfully point out that Nielsen discloses a method for enabling information associations, said method being performed with at least one client system and at least one server system, comprising the steps of: in a parsing step, parsing, on one of said client system and said server system, data containing within a document to identify a number of objects included in said document (i.e., sending a request for a particular www document) (see abstract, figs.1A, 2, col.5 line 45 to col.6 line 41) and in an accessing step, accessing, from at least one database (client side's database), information related to those said objects in said documents for which related

Page 13

Application/Control Number: 09/628,880

Art Unit: 2151

information is available (processing and displaying WWW documents to users upon requests, see col.6 lines 9-41) as rejected above.

Conclusion

- 11. Claims 1-59 are rejected.
- 12. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Khanh Dinh whose telephone number is (571) 272-3936. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 8:00 A.m. to 5:00 P.m.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Zarni Maung, can be reached on (571) 272-3939. The fax phone number for this group is (571) 273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval IPAIRI system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PMR or Public PMR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

KHANH DINH
PRIMARY EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100